Identifying the Most Valuable Parcels to Protect

By Meghan T. Jones

Three Valley Conservation Trust (TVCT), a nonprofit land conservation organization in Oxford, Ohio, needed to identify and prioritize land parcels for conservation easements that would help protect streams and riparian zones, promote prime production of agricultural land, and decrease the potential for habitat fragmentation.

Nonprofit land trust organizations, such as TVCT, implement environmental planning by working toward permanently preserving habitat, open space, and dynamic landscapes on private land, using different conservation strategies such as conservation easements. This strategy permanently limits land uses through a custom legal agreement to protect the natural and/or agricultural values of the land. According to the Land Trust Alliance, in 2018 there were 1,363 land trusts in the United States.

Easement acquisition is restricted by limited funding sources. Land managers are tasked with maximizing efficiency in the conservation planning process by prioritizing areas with high ecological value. Local land conservation organizations like TVCT can benefit from prioritization tools that enable data-driven decision-making.

Three Valley Conservation Trust

Founded in 1993, TVCT primarily targets riparian habitat and agricultural land in southwest Ohio. It protects land through conservation easements that span seven counties in southern Ohio and Indiana. TVCT's goal is to implement widespread protection of open space, watersheds, and communities for present and future generations.

→ Final parcel results for the study area in southwest Ohio. Scores are evaluated in quantiles (0–25; 26–50; 51–75; 76–100) to represent areas to prioritize for conservation. The land trust works toward this goal through sale or donation of conservation easements. Leveraging federal and state grant funding, TVCT places permanent easements on private property. Its funding comes from organizations and agencies such as The Nature Conservancy (TNC), the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA), and the Local Agricultural Easement Purchase Program (LAEPP).

To more effectively spend its available funds, TVCT wanted a parcel prioritization



tool developed that would assist in targeting easement placements. A research assistantship was granted to Meghan Jones, a graduate student at Miami University, who would undertake development of this tool for her master's thesis. She created the prioritization tool using Esri's ModelBuilder, saving TVCT both time and money.

Creating the Priority Model

The tool, the Land Priority Protection Model (LPPM), utilizes ModelBuilder in ArcMap 10.6 to identify areas of land best suited for conservation. It applies conservation criteria to private land to weight, rank, and strategically score parcels. The decision support criteria are the fundamental components of LPPM.

TVCT identified three overarching evaluation criteria for identifying potential parcels of high value: presence of streams, adjacency to existing protection, and the presence of desirable land-cover/land use (land cover/land use types include wetland, forest, and agriculture use). Each criterion is evaluated within its own submodel and calculated individually. The final conservation model is computed last to accommodate all other criteria calculations. While the primary purpose of the model is to identify high-priority land to apply conservation easements, the model needed to be versatile so that variables can be excluded or weighted differently.

Three Valley Conservation Trust is a nonprofit agency with limited funding. It favors using publicly available data sources such as the National Land Cover Database and the National Hydrography Dataset for analysis. Some of the open data sources are listed in Table 1. All data utilized wascollected through free national or state platforms; this is very important to the land trust for several reasons such as cost, availability and reliability. After collection, all data were imported into ArcGIS and reprojected to NAD83 Ohio South State Plane Feet, clipped to the study area, and imported into a geodatabase before being included in the model.

ModelBuilder as a Useful Solution

automates

ModelBuilder was chosen as the main platform for data manipulation and analysis for its versatility. Several toolbox tools with different workflows were created, which cut down the time that would normally be spent opening and running separate tools. ModelBuilder is a visual workflow creator that offers a flexible interface, providing easy editing and streamlining automation of frequently run processes. Users can assess conservation criteria individually and together.

the

of

process

prioritizing parcels based on various conservation scenarios. When using the submodel, the user determines whether to include or exclude criteria and assigns relative weights to each criterion. For example, if a funding agency prefers different conditions or criterion weight for conservation easement applications—such as the presence of wetlands those variables can be weighted higher before running the final priority model.

Submodels for Individual Conservation Criterion

Each criterion for identifying potential parcels of high value is handled by a sub-model. Each submodel has a specific purpose: to create an attribute field for holding score. For example, the Presence of Streams submodel selects every parcel in the study area that intersects a stream and assigns it a score of 100 because a stream is present. All parcels that don't intersect a stream receive a score of 0, denoting the absence of streams.

After each submodel has been run, the parcel dataset contains five new fields that hold scores for each evaluation criterion. The appended parcel dataset is then used to run the final conservation model.

Final Model and Results

The final analysis adds a field to the parcels dataset to store the final priority score. This

ullet Table 1. Data type, source, and scale for each conservation criteria submodel

LPPM

| Conservation Criteria | GIS Data | Source | Data Type | Scale |
|--|--|---|-----------|----------|
| Presence of streams | The National Hydrography Dataset | USGS The Natonal Map https://hydro.nationalmap.gov | Vector | 1:24,000 |
| Adjacency to existing protection | TVCT existing protection Other private protection Public land protection | Three Valley Conservation Trust Ohio-Kentucky-Indiana (OKI) Regional Planning Authority | Vector | 1:24,000 |
| Presence of prime agricultural land | United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Soil Survey Geographic Database | Natural Resources Conservation Service https://www.nrcs.usda.gov | Vector | 1:24,000 |
| Presence of forested land | National Land Cover Database | Multi-Resolution Land Characteristics https://www.mrlc.gov | Raster | 30-meter |
| Presence of wetlands | United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Soil Survey Geographic Database | Natural Resources Conservation Service https://www.nrcs.usda.gov | Vector | 1:24,000 |



score is calculated as a sum of each criterion present for each parcel multiplied by the individual weight assigned, which ranges from 0–100. A weight of 100 represents the most desirable land for conservation, and a weight of 0 represents the least desirable land for conservation. Results are symbolized in even quantiles from 0–100 based on the final conservation index parcel score. Of 201,477 parcels evaluated, only 514 (0.2 percent) scored a perfect 100, while 3,777 parcels (1.87 percent) contained the highest priority score ranging from 75–100.

Passive to Active Planning Approaches

Prior to the completion of LPPM, TVCT primarily followed a passive approach to land conservation, relying on landowners to initiate the decision to protect property from development and begin habitat restoration. While this resulted in more than 23,000 acres being placed in conservation easements, this approach does not always protect higher-risk ecosystems and can result in habitat gaps.

By utilizing LPPM, TVCT can analyze open-source data and identify at-risk ecosystems. Mapping natural resources using weighted criteria helps illustrate how



↑ Visual workflow of Land Priority Protection Model construction. Each submodel evaluates an individual conservation criterion and creates a field in the parcel dataset attribute table with a presence-absence score. These scores are analyzed in the final model.



private parcels of land impact biodiversity and ecosystem services on a landscape level. For example, this model could provide the land trust with a way to examine which parcels should be set aside to provide contiguous habitat along a riparian corridor, rather than focusing on land use/ land cover.

Conservation funding is limited and so is the amount of land it can ultimately protect, so it is important that TVCT scrutinize available funding projects and protect parcels that will provide the greatest ecological benefit. LPPM allows TVCT to be proactive and educate landowners who may be less inclined to support local land protection on the ecological value of their property. Engagement and education aim to increase involvement with the community to promote habitat preservation or protection.

Leveraging model results, the land trust shifted from predominantly passive methods to proactively seeking out appropriate land for conservation and then finding a funding source to aid in the easement acquisition. The maps created using LLPM will help communicate land protection issues as they relate to landowners' holdings, and target donors for restoration projects.

Following the initial analysis and

selection of strategic habitat using the LPPM, TVCT exported the selected parcels to unmanned aerial systems (UAS) mapping software loaded on Apple iPads. The staff used UAS technology and software to obtain current aerial images and vegetation indexes. In addition, field staff used the maps to guide biological surveys, draft habitat restoration/protection plans, and create detailed presentations for meetings and workshops.

The Future Use of LPPM

Going forward, TVCT plans to continue employing the LPPM as a means to identify habitat zones hosting vital or at-risk native flora and fauna. As funding sources are identified, the conservation trust will adjust data layers and feature class weights to align strategic mapping with funding partner criteria. This flexibility allows TVCT to maximize its impact by leveraging collaborative funding from multiple sources. LPPM helps visually communicate conservation initiative goals, illustrate the initial planning and assessment steps, and significantly increase community outreach and financial support.

LPPM is free to access, and a step-bystep user guide helps GIS technicians at partner conservation groups re-create the methods used in this analysis and incorporate industry data layers. [See the online version of this article at esri.com/ arcuser for links to the model, guide, and resources consulted.]

For more information, contact Meghan T. Jones at taylormegh7@gmail.com.

Acknowledgments

This work was completed under the guidance of Robbyn Abbitt, GISP, the GIS coordinator and master's research adviser in geography at Miami University in Ohio.

About the Author

Meghan T. Jones is a GIS specialist with LJB Inc., a consulting firm that provides civil and structural engineering, environmental, and safety services. She has bachelor's and master's degrees in geography and a certification in GIS from Miami University, Ohio. Jones is a board member of the Young Professionals Network at LJB. She works to promote continuing education, social and professional engagement, and mentorship among emerging professionals. She uses GIS to build web applications, create spatial analysis models, and apply automated scripting.